THE EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG Course Outline

Part I

Programme Title: Bachelor of Education (Honours) (English Language)

Programme QF Level : 5

Course Title : Pragmatics **Course Code** : ENG4292

Department: Department of Linguistics and Modern Language Studies

(LML)

Credit Point : 3
Contact Hours : 39
Pre-requisite(s) : Nil
Medium of Instruction : English
Level : 4

Part II

The University's 4Cs Learning Framework and seven Generic Intended Learning Outcomes (GILOs) represent the attributes of ideal EdUHK graduates and their expected qualities respectively. Learning outcomes work coherently at the University (GILOs), programme (Programme Intended Learning Outcomes) and course (Course Intended Learning Outcomes) levels to achieve the goal of nurturing students with important attributes embodied in the 4Cs.

The 4Cs are:

- Character and moral responsibility
- Competence and professional excellence
- Cultivation of wisdom and intellectual engagement
- Civic-mindedness & social responsibility

The seven GILOs are:

- 1. Problem Solving Skills
- 2. Critical Thinking Skills
- 3. Creative Thinking Skills
- 4a. Oral Communication Skills
- 4b. Written Communication Skills
- 5. Social Interaction Skills
- 6. Ethical Decision Making
- 7. Global Perspectives

1. Synopsis

This course introduces students to the key concepts in pragmatics as they pertain to the use of language and its relations to the context of usage. It develops students' ability to analyze spoken and written texts as well as non-textual types of communication by referring to general constraints on language use. Pragmatic aspects of language will be analyzed from the point of view of logic, philosophy and typology.

Using established data-gathering and analytic techniques, linguistic data will be analysed to better understand how meaning is conveyed in human communication and how this relates to human cognition in general.

2. Course Intended Learning Outcomes (CILOs)

Upon successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

- CILO₁ Demonstrate a clear understanding of the key concepts and methods of pragmatics;
- CILO₂ Recognize and analyze pragmatic elements of authentic discourse and be able to characterize the difference between the logical and pragmatic properties of natural language discourse; and
- CILO₃ Apply knowledge of pragmatics to critically evaluate classroom communication strategies.

3. Course Intended Language Learning Outcomes (CILLOs)

Upon successful completion of this course, students will be able to:

CILLO₁ Interpret intended meaning in written and spoken discourse.

4. Content, CILOs, CILLOs and Teaching & Learning Activities

Course Content	CILOs/	Teaching & Learning	
	CILLOs	Activities	
Introduction to pragmatics:	$CILO_1$	Lecture, seminar, group	
What is pragmatics?		work, student presentations.	
History of pragmatics			
Importance of pragmatics			
Basic research methods in pragmatics	$CILO_2$	Lecture, seminar, group	
Discourse completion tasks	$CILLO_1$	work, student presentations.	
Experimental pragmatics			
(acceptability judgments etc.)			
Conversation analysis			
Corpus analysis			
Reference to the context	CILO ₁	Lecture, seminar, group	
Deictic elements (person, time)	$CILO_2$	work, student presentations.	
Non-deictic element	$CILLO_1$		
 Pragmatic inferences 	CILO ₁	Lecture, seminar, group	

	>	Cooperation/Grice's maxim	CILO ₂	work, student presentations.
		Logical content of utterances,	CILLO ₁	work, student presentations.
		(basic propositional logic,	CILLO	
		· • •		
	_	reasoning fallacies)		
		Comparison of the logical level of		
		meaning with conversational		
		implicatures and implicatures		
		Speech acts: direct/indirect		
		Argumentation: markers and		
		strategies		
•	• Politeness:		CILO ₁	Lecture, seminar, group
		Politeness theories (Brown and	$CILO_2$	work, student presentations.
		Levinson): face-threatening acts;	$CILLO_1$	_
		politeness strategies		
•	Contrastive pragmatics:		CILO ₁	Lecture, seminar, group
		Comparison of pragmatics	$CILO_2$	work, student presentations.
		markers across languages: the case	$CILLO_1$	_
		of adversative connectives	_	
	\triangleright	Comparison of politeness		
	•	strategies across cultures		
	Pra	gmatics in the classroom	CILO ₁	Lecture, seminar, group
	>	Research on classroom pragmatics	CILO ₁	work, student presentations.
	>	Implications for teaching -	CILO ₂	work, student presentations.
		Evaluations of classroom talk, text		
		•	CILLO ₁	
		and electronic-based materials		
		from a pragmatic perspective		

5. Assessment

Assessment Tasks	Weighting	CILOs/
		CILLOs
(a) Individual research report analyzing a pragmatic	40%	CILO _{1 & 2}
phenomenon in detail based on authentic data		CILLO ₁
(about 1200 words).		
(b) Group task, 3 students (eq. to about 1200 words		CILO _{1, 2 & 3}
essay by each student):		CILLO ₁
> Set-up of an experiment to investigate a pragmatic	20%	
phenomenon	20%	
Group presentation of the results and their analysis	20%	
(c) Small quizzes requiring students to define and	20%	CILO ₁
explain technical terms and concepts.		

6. Required Text(s)

Huang, Y. (2014). *Pragmatics* (2nd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press

7. Recommended Readings

- Andersen-Wood, L., & Smith, B.R. (1997). Working with pragmatics: a practical guide to promoting communicative confidence. Bicester [England]: Winslow.
- Bargiela-Chiappini, F., & Harris, S.J. (1997). *Managing language: the discourse of corporate meetings.* Amsterdam: J. Benjamins.
- Boxer, D., & Cohen, A. D. (2004) Studying speaking to inform second language learning. Clevendon: Multilingual Matters.
- Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some universals in language usage*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cameron, D. (1992). Feminism and linguistic theory. (2nd Edn.) New York: Palgrave. Cummings, L. (2005). Pragmatics: A multidisciplinary perspective. Mahwah, NJ:
 - Lawrence Erlbaum and Associates.
- Davies, C. (1990). *Ethnic humour around the world: A comparative analysis*. Bloomington Ind.: Indiana University Press.
- Dewart, H. & Summers, S. (1995). *The pragmatics profile of everyday communication skills in children*. (rev. edn.) Windsor: NFER-Nelson.
- Diamond, J. (1996). Status and power in verbal interaction: a study of discourse in a close-knit social network. Amsterdam: Philadelphia: J. Benjamins.
- Gass, S. M., & Neu, J. (1996). Speech acts across cultures: Challenges to communication in a second language. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Geis, M.L. (1995). *Speech acts and conversational interaction*. Cambridge: New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Geluykens, R. (1994). *The pragmatics of discourse anaphora in English: Evidence from conversational repair.* Berlin: New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Goffman, E. (1997). The Goffman reader. Malden, Mass.: Blackwell.
- Green, G.M. (1996). *Pragmatics and natural language understanding*. Mahwah, N.J.: Erlbaum.
- Grundy, P. (2000). Doing pragmatics. (2nd ed.). London: E. Arnold.
- Huang, Y. (2012). The Oxford dictionary of Pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Huang, Y. (2014). Pragmatics (2nd ed.). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Holmes, J. (1995). Women, Men and Politeness. Harlow, England: Longman.
- Horn, L. R., & Ward, G. (2006). The handbook of pragmatics. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Kasper, G. & Dahl, M. (1991). Research Methods in interlanguage pragmatics. University of Hawaii.
- Kotthoff, H., & Wodak, R. (Eds.). (1997). Communicating gender in context. Amsterdam: Philadelphia, Pa.: J. Benjamin.
- Leech, G.N. (1983). *Principles of Pragmatics*. New York: Longman. [selections from].
- Levinson, S.C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge; New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Matthews, S., & Yip, V. (1994). *Cantonese: A comprehensive grammar.* New York: Routledge.
- Mey, J. L. (2001). Pragmatics: An introduction (2nd ed). Oxford: Blackwell.
- Rose, K. R., & Kasper, G. (2001). *Pragmatics in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Scollon, R. & Scollon, S.W. (2001). *Intercultural communication: A discourse approach*. (2nd edn.) Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Tannen, D. (1993). *Gender and conversational interaction*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Thomas, J. (1995). Meaning in interaction: An introduction to pragmatics. London:

Longman.

Trosborg, A. (1995). *Interlanguage pragmatics: requests, complaints, and apologies.* Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Wierzbicka, A. (2003). *Cross-cultural pragmatics: The semantics of human interaction*. (2nd edn.) Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Wray, A. (2008). Formulaic language: Pushing the boundaries. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Yule, G. (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

8. Related Web Resources

Corpora:

American National Corpus (ANC):

http://www.americannationalcorpus.org/#

BRITISH NATIONAL CORPUS (BNC):

http://www.natcorp.ox.ac.uk/

Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA):

http://corpus.byu.edu/coca/x.asp?w=1280&h=720

CORPUS.BYU.EDU:

http://corpus.byu.edu/corpora.asp

Michigan Corpus of Academic Spoken English (MICASE):

http://micase.elicorpora.info/

SCRIBE - Spoken Corpus of British English:

http://www.phon.ucl.ac.uk/resource/scribe/

9. Related Journals

Journal of Pragmatics

Intercultural Pragmatics (http://www.degruyter.de/journals/intcultpragm/detailEn.cfm)

Discourse and Communication

Journal of Semantics

Semantics and Pragmatics (http://semprag.org/)

10. Academic Honesty

The University adopts a zero tolerance policy to plagiarism. For the University's policy on plagiarism, please refer to the Policy on Academic Honesty, Responsibility and Integrity with Specific Reference to the Avoidance of Plagiarism by Students (https://www.eduhk.hk/re/modules/downloads/visit.php?cid=9&lid=89). Students should familiarize themselves with the Policy.

11. Others

Nil